I. Background

1. The present report was prepared pursuant to Human Rights Council resolutions 5/1 and 16/21, taking into consideration the periodicity of the universal periodic review. It is a summary of 100 stakeholders' submissions to the universal periodic review, presented in a summarized manner owing to word-limit constraints.

II. Information provided by stakeholders

A. Scope of international obligations and cooperation with international human rights mechanisms and bodies


3. JS3 and JS45 recommended ratifying the UNESCO Convention against Discrimination in Education. HRW, JS40 and JS45 recommended ratifying the Council of Europe Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities and other international instruments relating to minority rights. ICAN recommended Turkey to sign and ratify the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons. European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI) reiterated its recommendation to the Turkish authorities to ratify Protocol No. 12 to the European Convention on Human Rights.

4. HRW, ESHID and JS33 recommended withdraw the reservation to Article 27 of the ICCPR. HRAA and ESHID recommended lifting the reservations to ICESCR and remove all the reservations and declarations put in to CRC, OP-CRC-AC and OP-CRC-SC, while HRAA and JS3 recommended lifting the reservation to OP-CRC-IC.

* The present document was not edited before being sent to United Nations translation services.
5. HRW, HRAA and JS48 recommended Turkey to lift its geographical limitation to the 1967 Refugee Protocol to the 1951 Refugees Convention.\textsuperscript{14} JS37 recommended acceding to the 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness.\textsuperscript{15}

6. KYM recommended inviting the Special Rapporteur for Human Rights Defenders and Freedom of Association to visit Turkey.\textsuperscript{16} SERA and JS22 recommended authorizing an official visit by the Special Rapporteurs on the right to privacy, and on the promotion and protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms while countering terrorism.\textsuperscript{17}

**B. National human rights framework\textsuperscript{18}**

7. AI noted that Turkey has failed to progress with the implementation of many of the recommendations accepted during its previous UPR with rapid deterioration of the human rights situation during the two-year state of emergency (SoE).\textsuperscript{19}

8. Many stakeholders observed that following the failed coup attempt of 15 July 2016, a three-month SoE was declared, which the government renewed seven times until 18 July 2018. Under the auspices of the SoE, the government introduced a series of legal amendments through emergency Decree-Laws (approximately 32 OHAL) bypassing ordinary legislative procedure, which resulted in permanent changes to the legal framework and system of government and the model of democratic governance. They recommended repealing all legislation and decrees promulgated under the SoE and other legal provisions which arbitrarily restrict human rights, including Law 7145.\textsuperscript{20}

9. Some stakeholders noted that the Ombudsman and the Human Rights and Equality Institution of Turkey did not satisfy the criteria of the Paris Principles and with ECRI’s General Policy Recommendations Nos. 2 and 7 in terms of its statute, structure, function, activities, financial and operational independence, its board members’ independence and eligibility and their membership, recommending revising its establishing law.\textsuperscript{21} ECRI raised similar concerns and made similar recommendations.\textsuperscript{22}

10. Many stakeholders recommended Turkey to implement its obligations under the OPCAT through the creation of a national preventive mechanism tasked with carrying out regular and ad-hoc unannounced visits to all places of detention.\textsuperscript{23}

11. ECRI repeated its recommendation to entrust a body that is fully independent of the police, other security forces and the prosecution services with the investigation of alleged cases of misconduct by their members, including ill-treatment, giving the Ombudsperson a clear mandate to deal with this issue.\textsuperscript{24} JS8 and JS39 recommended providing human rights training to the law enforcement officials, prison staff, judges and prosecutors.\textsuperscript{25}

**C. Implementation of international human rights obligations, taking into account applicable international humanitarian law**

1. *Cross-cutting issues*

   *Equality and non-discrimination*\textsuperscript{26}

12. JS30 noted that Kurds still faced various forms of discrimination.\textsuperscript{27} ECRI recommended that the authorities remove from textbooks any racist material or material that encourages stereotypes, intolerance or prejudice against minority groups. It recommended that officials and political leaders at all levels stop using hate speech.\textsuperscript{28}

13. ESHID and JS2 noted that despite Turkey supported recommendations on adopting a comprehensive anti-discrimination law in 2015 UPR cycle, there has been no development since then.\textsuperscript{29} HRW and JS8 recommended enacting a comprehensive anti-discrimination legislation.\textsuperscript{30} ECRI recommended including in the Penal Code a prohibition of discrimination on the grounds of ethnic origin, colour, language, citizenship, sexual orientation and gender identity aimed at combating racism and homo/transphobia; and abolish the restriction in Article 216.\textsuperscript{31}
14. OSCE/ODIHR concluded that Turkey’s law enforcement agencies have not recorded the bias motivations of hate crimes. JS2 and JS27 noted that gender-based violence, hate speech against disadvantaged groups, hate crime and violations of human rights of LGBTI+ persons were still a matter of serious concern and recommended that the provisions of “public order”, “public health”, “public moral” are not used against LGBTI+ rights defenders and to condemn violence and discrimination against them.

15. Some stakeholders stated that the right to property was violated by confiscating assets of almost 942 companies (during the SoE, 1767 foundations, unions and federations were closed by decree-laws and 109 dormitories, 934 private schools, 15 universities, 49 medical institutions, 15 news agencies, 20 TV channels, 25 radios, 70 newspapers, 20 periodicals and 29 publish houses were closed) supposedly involved in the failed coup attempt, which had net worth of 20 billion dollars of equity and assets seized and transferred to Saving Deposit Insurance Fund “trustees” by decree-laws. The government also confiscated the assets of NGO’s, universities and higher education institutions, foundations, companies, charities, and unions with the claim of being properties of Gülen Movement, regarded as a terrorist organization. The procedures for investigating and confiscating the assets were with no clear court decision. They recommended returning all the assets and provide compensation. JS7 and BTHRC noted the Savings Deposit Insurance Fund has unfairly seized the accounts and assets of the Bank's of Asya, depositors and shareholders, jeopardizing the judiciary independence and violating the right to property and personal data.

16. KYM said that Turkey violated their rights under the Freedom of Information Act, after they were denied access to their case files after terminating its relief efforts and freezing its bank accounts without judicial approval or legal justification.

17. AI noted that Turkey accepted recommendations, including to ensure the Penal Code and the Anti-Terrorism Law are consistent with its international obligations. A total of 44,690 people were in prison on “terrorism” related charges, including journalists, political activists, lawyers, academics (including those who signed a peace appeal in 2016), human rights defenders and others following the coup attempt, vastly exceeding the legitimate purpose of investigating those responsible and bringing them to justice.

18. Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights (the Commissioner) stated that certain criminal provisions on the security of the state and terrorism are prone to arbitrary application due to their vague formulation and overly broad interpretation of the concepts of terrorist propaganda and support for a terrorist organization, including to statements and persons that clearly do not incite violence. Various stakeholders noted that several provisions of Law no. 3713 concerning membership in and propaganda supporting terrorist organizations, does not define acts that would constitute terrorism, and other key terms are left undefined. Law No. 7145 introduced amendments to several laws for a period of three years, including Anti-Terror Law 3713. They recommended reforming Article 220 (8) of the Penal Code, and reforming Articles 314 and 220 (7)) to prevent violating the legitimate exercise of rights to freedom of expression. Several stakeholders also recommended aligning counter-terrorism laws with international and European human rights standards, to ensure “terrorist acts” are narrowly defined, by amending the definition of “terrorism” in Article 1 and “terrorist” offender, and repealing or amending Articles 6/2 and 7/2 of the Anti-Terrorism Act. IBAHRC reported that those charged with crimes under the Law 3713 had their visiting rights restricted; and denied health services and access to common space in prisons.

19. The Commissioner considered that the anti-terror operations in South-Eastern Turkey did not rest on a sufficient legal basis and were characterized by excessive use of force. The Commissioner urged executing the numerous ECTHR judgments.
2. Civil and political rights

Right to life, liberty and security of person

20. JS29 was concerned by statements to reinstate the death penalty under the pretext of counter-terrorism efforts. It recommended Turkey to respect its obligations under OP2-ICCPR.46

21. AHD/IHD, JS30 and HRFT reported that between Augusts 2015-2016, at least 321 civilians were killed during the curfews and conflicts. The vast majority of the investigations resulted in non-prosecution. They recommended conducting effective investigations into serious allegations of violations of right to life.47

22. The Commissioner considered that numerous human rights of a large population in South-Eastern Turkey have been violated in the context of the anti-terrorism operations conducted since August 2015.48 ECRI recommended that the Turkish authorities ensure the safety of civilians and that the wounded receive medical treatment when carrying out operations against the PKK.49 JS32 and JS42 recommended ending kidnappings and forced disappearances and bringing perpetrators of these crimes accountable and allowing those forcibly taken back to return to where they were kidnapped.50

23. AFD, JS12 and JS47 recommended Turkey to condemn torture and ill-treatment, and make those engaging in torture accountable.51 HRFT recommended conducting investigations in accordance with the Istanbul Protocol and establish an independent unit to investigate complaints against those suspected of torture.52

24. Many stakeholders noted members of the “Gülenist Movement” have faced intense surveillance and harassment by the security forces and more than 50,000 persons were detained and some detainees were subjected to torture.53 SM noted that they were detained for their alleged use of ByLock, an encrypted communications app.54 AHR, JS4, JS12 and JS39 recommended revising Articles 23, 25 and 115 of the Law no. 5275 to limit the use of solitary confinement to the conditions outlined in the Nelson Mandela Rules and adopt legal regulations which would safeguard the basic needs of women prisoners in compliance with the UN Beijing Rules.55 Stakeholders observed over-crowding in prisons.56

25. AFD, ALI and JS12 reported that since the failed coup, approximately 1546 lawyers were prosecuted, 599 arrested and detained arbitrarily, including their lawyers have been arrested themselves or faced intimidation. Bar Associations and Law Societies have been closed, and 14 of the lawyers arrested were presidents (or former presidents) of their respective provincial bar associations, with 311 lawyers convicted and sentenced.57

Administration of justice, including impunity, and the rule of law

26. Several stakeholders observed an escalation of torture and violence towards detainees while at the same time security personal who may have committed crimes on behalf of the government, enjoyed immunity from prosecution during and after the attempted coup. They recommended abrogating any provision that grants retroactive immunity from any legal, administrative, financial and criminal liability with respect to the perpetration of acts of torture or other ill-treatment, particularly Emergency Decree-Laws Nos. (667, art. 9(1), 2016), (668 art. 37) and (696 art. 121), and related Articles of the Law No. 4483.58 The Commissioner urged Turkey to tackle the numerous root causes of impunity in Turkey.59

27. Several stakeholders noted that in May 2017, the government established the “Inquiry Commission on State of Emergency Measures” (the Commission) with Decree-Law no. 685 in order to assess the applications concerning administrative acts which were carried out by the Decree-Laws during the SoE, with appeals of over 130,000 dismissals of civil servants and public officials that followed the attempted coup. The ineffectiveness of this Commission has resulted in dozens of thousands of people not being able to access justice and repair effectively as only 7,600 had their claims accepted.60 The Venice Commission expressed concern about the basic rights of administrative due process of the public servants dismissed by the decree laws.61 JS25 recommended changing the Criminal Judgships of Peace framework.62
28. AFD, PPJ, JS17 and JS49 observed that even before the coup attempt and subsequent SoE, there were growing concerns about the impartiality of the judiciary in Turkey. Since the coup attempt, there has been an aggressive action towards changing the laws and the legislative structure.64

29. Many stakeholders observed an extension of executive control over the judiciary. The justice system lacked any meaningful independence or impartiality. They noted that legal amendments through emergency Decree-Laws came into effect in 2019, which empowered the President to directly appoint members of the judiciary and was implemented immediately. The judiciary has been purged of any perceived government opponents, and replaced with pro-government appointees. As of 20 March 2018, 4239 Judges and Prosecutors, 165 members of Supreme Court and Supreme Court of Public Accounts, 6 members of High Council of Judges and Prosecutors and 2 members of Constitutional Court were summarily dismissed, 3500 of them were arrested, and at least 600 judges and prosecutors have been kept in solitary confinement, leading to fear of reprisals by those still in post. They recommended refraining from actions contrary to the separation of powers and ensuring the independence of the judiciary; and prohibit the executive branch interference with or attempts to exert influence over the judiciary; amending legislation so that the appointment of the judiciary respects the principles of independence and impartiality; and authorizing individual complaints to the Constitutional Court against the decisions of the Council of Judges and Prosecutors.65 ICJ and PPJ recommended abolishing Article 26 of Law no. 7145, which extended the emergency powers over judges and prosecutors for a further three years.66

Fundamental freedoms and the right to participate in public and political life67

30. JS4, JS12 and JS33 noted that the attempted coup has had lasting consequences for religious freedom. Turkey has blamed and named all followers of Fetullah Gülen as part of a terrorist organization. Among over 260,000 inmates, around one-fifth of prison population has been charged with or convicted of terrorism offences today.68

31. JS31 and JS41 observed removing person’s religious affiliation from the national identity cards, however this information would continue to be present on a chip making people vulnerable to discrimination.69 JS40 urged considering that minority status also includes Assyrians, Chaldeans, Protestants and other Non-Muslim.70 Several stakeholders noted that restrictions on religious freedom and persecution on the basis of religion have increased since the 2016 coup. Alevis, Protestants, Syriacs, Jehovah’s Witnesses, Baha’is and new religions are not considered religious minorities in the laws. State media discriminate against minority religions, who have been deemed agents of the West, which the government suggests was involved in the coup.71

32. ECRI recommended that the authorities strictly respect their duty of neutrality and impartiality in regulating matters of religion, abolish discriminatory regulations and practices in this regard and expedite the implementation of the related decisions of the ECtHR.72

33. Several stakeholders noted that there was no provision for conscientious objection to the compulsory military service. They commended the ECtHR judgments and recommended repealing Article 318 of the Turkish Criminal Code (TCC) 5237; and recognizing conscientious objection.73

34. Several Stakeholders noted that freedom of expression has deteriorated severely. A crackdown on civic space, media freedom, and a purge of dissenting voices, which escalated in the aftermath of the failed attempted coup, was ongoing and especially in regards to NGO’s and media organizations. Counter-terrorism framework was used to restrict free expression, and has facilitated the arbitrary targeting of journalists, activists, and opposition voices, particularly in the Southeast. The government has dismantled free and independent media.74 The Venice Commission considered that mass liquidation of media outlets by emergency decree laws was incompatible with Article 10 of the ECHR.75

35. Several stakeholders emphasized the need for a complete overhaul of the TCC, and noted that Art. 299 was currently one of the main obstacles against freedom of expression. They recommended that existing legislation is revised, particularly Articles 125 (defamation), 215 (Praising a crime or a criminal), 216 (incitement to hatred), 220 §6 (committing an offence on behalf of a criminal organization) and 220 §7 (aiding and abetting
a criminal organization), 285 and 288 (confidentiality of investigations and attempts to influence the judiciary), 299 (insulting the President), Article 301 (insulting the Turkish nation, institutions of the state), 314 (membership of an armed organization), 318 (discouraging persons from military service). This should take full account of the ECtHR case-law and the Venice Commission relevant opinion. Similarly revising Article 7 §2 the Anti-Terrorism Law (propaganda on behalf of a terrorist organization), and ensure the policy exigencies of the War on Terror do not overshadow the need to protect civil and political rights and civil society.

36. Several stakeholders noted that journalists’ imprisonment not only silenced them, but also deprived Turkish citizens of their right to access pluralistic views on issues that could affect their lives. On 29 April 2017, the Turkish government banned access to all language versions of the Wikipedia website after refusing to take down an Article alleging Turkish government support for terrorist groups, according to Turkish authorities.

37. Several stakeholders noted that 18 unions accused of affiliation with the pro-Fethullahist [Gulenist] Terrorist Organization (FETÖ) were closed and all their assets were confiscated violating ILO Convention no. 87 and 98. They recommended repealing legislation and decrees implemented under the SoE and abolish Law 2911. JS44 noted that union activity, including the right to strike, was limited by law and in practice.

38. KYM recommended taking all necessary measures and adopt legal measures to prevent the punishment of civil society members and immediately prevent and combat hostile attitudes against civil society organizations’ members that were linked to Gulen movement, Kurdish and Alevites, and non-Muslim minorities.

39. The Commissioner highlighted that criminal proceedings were conducive to a climate of fear for the very large number of persons who peacefully participated in demonstrations and discouraged the exercise of the right to peaceful assembly.

40. SDuB noted that the assemblies of all the oppositions (such as Kurdish political groups, LGBTs, Leftists and Gulenists were strictly restricted or banned. ORF recommended regulating the use of force in accordance with international human rights standards in all situations, including in places of detention and during public gatherings.

41. ESHID observed that Turkey’s electoral legislation was not fully harmonized with international standards yet, impeding fair representation and foundation of a pluralistic political environment. OSCE/ODIHR recommended amending existing legislation to address key shortcomings, and harmonizing all election-related laws to provide a cohesive framework.

Prohibition of all forms of slavery

42. JS34 noted that trafficking for sexual purposes was the main form of trafficking in Turkey, which is a destination and a transit country for child victims of trafficking for sexual exploitation. It reported that no progress was made to implement the supported UPR recommendations in combating trafficking against children, as well as protecting the victims. It recommended adopting legal provisions to criminalize all forms of sexual exploitation of children in compliance with international legal standards, specifically sexual exploitation in prostitution, in travel and tourism and online.

Right to privacy and family life

43. JS7 and BTHRC reported that all personal data of Bank Asya depositors were seized without a court decision, violating customers’ privacy right, protected by Law. LAG and JS26 recommended reforming the National Intelligence Agency Law (No. 6532), and ensure adequate judicial and political oversight for the security services. SM noted that despite having the right to privacy protected by the Constitution subsequent articles limited it, allowing for interception of communications. This allowed the government surveillance following the coup attempt. It recommended amending Law No. 5651 to protect the right to privacy online.
3. Economic, social and cultural rights

Right to work and to just and favourable conditions of work⁹²

44. Several stakeholders stated that at least 152,000 civil servants were expelled, including 107,944 civil servants were part of these export lists attached to “Kanun Hükmünde Kararname”, KHK of the Decree-Law on the SoE, and were arrested for alleged involvement at the coup attempt. With the closure of private institutions such as foundations, trade unions and media companies, 22,474 people were also dismissed.⁹³ ASOCSOLNAC, AI, EPN, JS3 and JS40 noted that 120,000 have not been able to overturn their dismissal they were also publicly labelled as having links to “terrorist” organizations, in lists attached to executive decrees, without any individualized reasoning and their passports have been cancelled. Many are facing destitution and tremendous social stigma. Some of them have been entirely cut off from access to their professions. They recommended abolishing Law No 7145 and reinstating public sector workers; and dismissal be made only following disciplinary process with full procedural safeguards.⁹⁴ Several stakeholders noted that after the coup attempt serious restrictions imposed on freedom of travel, including the cancellation of more than 230 thousand passport by administrative decisions, have been expanded as such which causes systematic arbitrary treatments.⁹⁵

45. DISK (United Metalworkers' Union) recommended amending trade union legislation in the way that every union can itself freely choose which sectors they want to organize in, and workers be able to join any union they wish without any sectoral restriction.⁹⁶

Right to social security⁹⁷

46. JS38 noted a number of instances where individuals had not been treated according to law in relation to social security retirement among others since 15 July 2016. Many people who served in the public office positions of academician, soldier, police, and teacher over a number of years were dismissed without any concrete justification and without pension, allowing non-payment of severance pay and depriving them of retirement gratuities. It recommended eliminating deprivations of victims granting everyone the right to social security and particularly rights related to retirement and refrain from such unlawful and arbitrary practices.⁹⁸

Right to an adequate standard of living⁹⁹

47. JS3, JS21 and JS46 noted that the arbitrary dismissal of civil servants infringed their right to work and has seriously threatened their right to an adequate standard of living. Across Turkey, large banners displayed in public offices, other public facilities, restaurants and different shops state that “Parallels and sympathizers of parallels [Hizmet Movement] are not allowed inside.” Anyone listed in the decree-laws faces discrimination in the community, loss of prospective employment and social participation. Dismissed public sector workers are barred by decree from employment in private security companies, effectively prohibiting dismissed police and military officials from being employed in similar work or industries in the private sector. Along with their families, dismissed officials have also lost housing and health care benefits connected to their jobs. Unable to earn a living, prevented from seeking employment abroad, as the decrees also cancelled their passports, which seriously threatened the survival of their families.¹⁰⁰

Right to health¹⁰¹

48. JS21 stated that the dismissed public servants, were blocked from accessing their health rights.¹⁰²

49. JS3 stated that the measures undertaken against the alleged members of the Hizmet Movement have had an adverse effect on their health and their family members, and in particular on women and children. The government has cut off disability and social benefits to spouses or children of parents detained/arrested over alleged links to the Movement. Children of individuals perceived close to the Movement are routinely denied health care in hospitals and other health centers.¹⁰³
50. JS35 recommended developing a comprehensive education curriculum and amend Article 6 of the Family Planning Law to remove the “permission” clause for abortion from husbands and guardians.104

Right to education105

51. Witboek noted that the most detrimental effect of presidential decrees were visible in the field of education. These Decree-Laws have fundamentally damaged educational facilities and in the aftermath of the coup attempt, many teachers and students have been affected profoundly.106 SAR and JS43 called on other States to extend protections to refugee scholars from Turkey.107

52. Many stakeholders stated that in aftermath of the coup attempt, private educational institutions known to be close to the Gulen movement were closed, all of their movable properties, buildings and facilities, and assets were confiscated and their properties were transferred to the Maarif Foundation. A total of 54,350 teachers and 10864 assistant personnel and 5342 academic personnel, who worked in private education sector, were dismissed from their duties, violating ILO Convention no. 158. They urged to end the assault on education, both in the country and abroad, and reverse all legal and practical measures.108

53. Many stakeholders reported that more than 138,000 students and parents were blacklisted, exposing them to allegations of membership in a terrorist organization. Emergency Decree Law no. 672, forcibly shut down, universities and higher education institutions, 15 universities, 934 kindergarten, high schools, 109 student dormitories, 104 foundations and 1125 associations, and 19 unions, without any court orders or investigations, confiscating their assets claiming of being the properties of Gülen Movement which was regarded as a terrorist organization.109

54. JS24 urged Turkey to close the gender gap in secondary education, prioritize girl's education in the rural areas, and reform education materials and free school books with non-discriminatory discourses in line with the CRC and UNESCO Convention against Discrimination in Education.110

4. Rights of specific persons or groups

Women111

55. Several stakeholders reported that there were more than 10,000 women in Turkish prisons, mostly accused with links to Gülen Movement or ethnically Kurdish. They recommended ensuring that no person is detained without probable cause such as family members. They reported on arrests of pregnant and post-partum women and that death and violence against them has increased. They urged releasing them, end the practice of arresting them just before or immediately after giving birth, and improve their detention conditions, such as healthcare and hygiene.112

56. The Venice Commission welcomed measures taken in the recognition of prevention of violence against women as a priority in the political agenda.113 GREVIO urged Turkey to ensure the swift and impartial response to cases of domestic and other forms of violence against women.114

57. KAGIDER, HRW and JS40 noted that violence against women remained a serious concern, by failing to fulfill its obligations on gender equality under international conventions and mechanisms. The discourse of social and national values has prevailed against the commitment to equality and human rights. They reported on violence, including deaths due to domestic violence and so-called “honor” killings. They recommended reforming the Penal Code and ending violence against women and forbidding mediation between women and perpetrators.115

58. KAGIDER noted that although women’s participation in economic, political and social life has not improved sufficiently to ensure comprehensively women’s empowerment. Most of these goals of the National Action Plan on Gender Equality 2015–2020 are still underway to be realized. It observed low participation in political and economic decision-making processes with unfriendly local environment for women. Women’s participation to
labor force is 34 percent, the lowest in OECD countries; and women are not economically empowered.\textsuperscript{116}

\textit{Children}\textsuperscript{117}

59. JS47 reported that there were 743 children between 0-6 years and 343 children between 0-3 years in prisons.\textsuperscript{118} JS24 and JS48 recommended raising the age of criminal responsibility to at least 15 years.\textsuperscript{119}

60. JS24, JS34 and JS48 noted that despite ratifying numerous international conventions protecting children’s rights, and supporting 33 recommendations in the Second UPR Cycle concerning children, child rights have not been fully integrated into the national legislation.\textsuperscript{120} JS48 recommended harmonizing national legislation with the CRC and its protocols.\textsuperscript{121}

61. JS24 and JS34 noted that Turkey had one of the highest rates of child marriage in Europe despite the Turkish Civil Code setting the legal age of marriage at 18 years for both men and women. They recommended amending legal provision to ensure no legal gaps such as those in Article 128, and prohibiting forced and child marriage.\textsuperscript{122} JS34 noted that Syrian child refugees were vulnerable to unofficial religious marriage.\textsuperscript{123}

62. GIEACPC and JS48 recommended banning corporal punishment in all settings. They urged enacting legislation to explicitly prohibit this practice.\textsuperscript{124}

\textit{Persons with disabilities}\textsuperscript{125}

63. ESHID reported that Turkey failed to fulfil many obligations arising from the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. The policies on disability are formed on the axis of medical and charity approach.\textsuperscript{126} ESHID and JS40 recommended harmonizing domestic law with the CRPD and repealing the discriminatory provisions contained in Article 74 (e) of the Law No. 5174 and Article 8 (g) of the Law No. 2802, and other laws.\textsuperscript{127}

64. JS40 recommended opening all closed institutions hosting persons with disabilities for independent monitoring.\textsuperscript{128}

65. JS24 recommended adopting, implementing and monitoring a new integrated and participatory strategy at the national and provincial levels to support for independent living of children with disabilities.\textsuperscript{129}

\textit{Minorities}\textsuperscript{130}

66. JAI, JS30, IAPD and MAAT noted that the issue of oppression of minorities in Turkey was still ongoing, especially with the exposure of many ethnic Kurdish minorities to violations. Turkish authorities exercised a number of policies against minorities, including the imposition of the state language, preventing them from speaking in their Kurdish language, and discriminating them in employment opportunities and universities. In addition to the marginalization of the Kurdish areas in the absence of development and rehabilitation processes; control taken over 94 Kurdish municipalities; suspension of local democracy; preventing them from free political expression; absence of a constitution guaranteeing their basic rights; and absence of a culture of coexistence. They recommended Turkey to stop discriminatory practices in Diyarbakır.\textsuperscript{131}

67. JS30 noted that Kurds were also prevented from accessing education in their mother tongue in the public-school system, and faced restrictions on their right to broadcast in their own languages.\textsuperscript{132}

\textit{Migrants, refugees and asylum seekers}\textsuperscript{133}

68. DISK noted a growing number of refugees and migrant workers both because of the conflict in Syria and because many workers migrate to work in Turkey. It recommended Turkey to create a mechanism whereby migrant workers can complain about violations of their most basic rights or crimes committed against them without fear of deportation and ensure that, regardless of their work status, can join unions or form associations.\textsuperscript{134}
69. IBAHRC welcomed the Constitutional Court judgment on the recall of the suspensive effect of the annulment actions in deportation cases. It recommended implementing in practice procedural guarantees for the principle of non-refoulement.\(^{135}\)

70. JS24, HRW and JAI noted that Turkey continued to host the world’s largest number of refugees and asylum seekers, around 3.5 million. Since November 2017, ten provinces have suspended registration of Syrian asylum seekers. A migration deal with the EU, which offered aid in exchange for preventing onward migration to the EU, has contributed to Turkey’s long-standing policy of closing the Syrian border. They reported high rates of child labor and large numbers of child refugees and asylum seekers not attending school. They recommended adopting policies to end root causes of child labour including poverty reduction strategies and re-open its border with Syria to asylum seekers and swiftly register them.\(^{136}\)

**Stateless persons**\(^{137}\)

71. OTHERS and JS37 reported that in around 20 countries, Turkish citizens experienced violations of rights in consulates in violation of the 1963 Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. OTHERS documented cases of Consulates informing citizens that their passports were canceled and trying convincing them to return on one-way travel documents. Additionally, citizens applying to the Consulates for renewing their expired or out-of-page passports were not extended or renewed. Since passport and identity cards are not issued to newborn children, they might become stateless.\(^{138}\)

72. JS48 documented cases of Turkish citizens accused of being associated with “Hizmet movement” living abroad, who were not able to transfer their citizenship to their children because of the denial of consular services. It recommended providing citizenship to all babies born to Turkish citizens.\(^{139}\)

73. JS37 reported that failure to cooperate with a criminal investigation carried deprivation of nationality and children born to Syrian refugees face risk of statelessness. It recommended issuing birth certificates, national identification and passports, and addressing barriers to accessing birth registration.\(^{140}\)
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